FIRST EDITION / RESEARCHED 17 NOVEMBER 2026

Midjourney Creative Studio

Part V

Portfolio Projects and Professional Workflow



A 200-page illustrated tutorial workbook with six teaching units, 24 applied cases, prompt drafts, visual critique, iteration records, and guided practice.

START HERE

How to use this volume

Read one case at a time. Each eight-page case moves through a lesson and brief, prompt lab, visual critique, controlled iteration, assistant-assisted revision, diagnosis, workflow, and portfolio handoff. The four cases in each unit apply the same concept to different fictional briefs.

This is a written workbook with substantial planning and writing space. Prompt variants are proposed exercises, not tested Midjourney outputs. The artwork is original AI-generated teaching illustration made with a separate image-generation tool. It is not a Midjourney screenshot, a parameter benchmark, a verified edit sequence, or an extracted video frame.

You need your own Midjourney access and any required plan or generation resources. Buying the workbook does not include a subscription, credits, account access, or live generation service. No Midjourney jobs were executed for this release.

Prompt templates containing YOUR_REFERENCE_IMAGE_URL or YOUR_STYLE_IMAGE_URL require replacement with your own usable reference URL, or use the described website upload role instead. Do not submit placeholder text as if it were an actual image.

The books are independent learning material and are not affiliated with or endorsed by Midjourney. Check current official documentation when your interface or model differs.

MODEL AND FEATURE MAP

Choose the matching workflow

Current image baseline

The official Version guide listed V8.2 as the default when checked. Prompts that use a model parameter make the intended image model explicit. Your account settings and future defaults may differ.

V8 subject references and edits

Use the current Edit Model route for the V8 reference/edit exercises. Keep --tile image generation separate from Edit Model work. Use the website role instructions when a reference is not already hosted.

Labeled V7 comparison

Only the explicitly labeled legacy lesson uses a V7 Omni Reference template. It is not a V8 recipe. Do not mix --oref or --ow into the V8 examples.

Image versus video

The Animate route uses a starting image and video-specific controls. Image prompt parameters such as --ar, --v, and --sref are not carried into the video prompt examples.

Record before comparing

Save the workflow type, selected model, prompt, active references, settings, source/result identity, and review question. Avoid carrying an undocumented setting into the next experiment.

ORIGINAL ILLUSTRATION / NOT A MIDJOURNEY RESULT

Read the teaching plate



Present a coherent collection

The interior, product, character, and botanical panel demonstrate a portfolio vocabulary. Identify the different job of each panel and the aesthetic anchors linking them. They are fictional original teaching illustrations.

The plate is reused as a visual vocabulary exercise throughout this volume. It does not depict every case prompt and does not establish that a particular parameter caused a particular look.

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / LEARN

Build a dream-room portfolio project / Dream Room

Translate a fictional dream-room brief into a coherent visual story. Define the room purpose, layout anchors, material palette, light, focal feature, and intended presentation. Use simple early compositions to establish the room before decorative edits. A generated room is a concept visualization, not a measured construction plan or proof of buildability.

Fictional brief

A fictional dream-room portfolio case study. Subject: a warm timber reading room with an arch window, a blue chair and a low bookshelf.

Acceptance criteria

Clear room story, coherent landmarks, calm focal point.

Predict the first visible issue you would inspect.

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / PROMPT LAB

Draft and compare the prompts

Proposed image prompt or labeled template

```
a warm timber reading room with an arch window, a blue chair and a low bookshelf,
wide hero composition, late afternoon window light, palette of timber, blue,
cream, original editorial art direction --ar 3:2 --v 8.2
```

Variant or revision brief

Revision brief: Create a hero-room concept and one supporting detail that share the same visual anchors. Preserve clear room story, coherent landmarks, calm focal point.

One comparison question

Create a hero-room concept and one supporting detail that share the same visual anchors.

These are proposed drafts. Record your actual workflow and inspect the result rather than assuming the text guarantees the requested outcome. A reference placeholder must be replaced before use. A plain-language revision brief can guide a new prompt or edit; it is not itself a universal parameter recipe.

Record the exact prompt and active workflow you actually use.

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / VISUAL CRITIQUE

Look before you revise



Teaching illustration generated separately from Midjourney. Not this case's output, not a verified before/after sequence, and not a controlled parameter comparison.

The interior, product, character, and botanical panel demonstrate a portfolio vocabulary. Identify the different job of each panel and the aesthetic anchors linking them. They are fictional original teaching illustrations.

Identify one useful visual decision and one issue to inspect in your own result.

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / COMPARISON RECORD

Run a controlled iteration

Decision to make

Create a hero-room concept and one supporting detail that share the same visual anchors.

Record A and B as actual observations when you run the exercise. Keep the subject facts and other known settings fixed where possible. If a reference, model, or workflow changes, record that change instead of calling the comparison fully controlled.

Criterion	A / observation	B / observation
Brief compliance		
Subject / structural clarity		
Style / palette coherence		
Delivery suitability		

Illustrative diagnosis, not an observed result

The portfolio presents contradictory room layouts as exact alternate views of one buildable space.

A useful comparison ends with a reasoned decision: keep A, keep B, revise one issue, or gather more evidence. Do not score an ungenerated result as if it were observed.

What changed, what stayed stable, and what decision follows?

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / PROMPT EDITING

Use an assistant without losing the brief

This portable instruction can be used with a text assistant such as ChatGPT, Gemini, or Claude. Interfaces and capabilities vary; the task here is structured brief editing rather than a claim about a specific product feature.

```
Act as a visual brief editor. Project: Dream Room. Subject: a warm timber reading room with an arch window, a blue chair and a low bookshelf. Purpose: a fictional dream-room portfolio case study. Preserve these criteria: clear room story, coherent landmarks, calm focal point. Lesson focus: Build a dream-room portfolio project. Suggest three concise plain-language revisions and explain each change. Do not invent Midjourney parameters, claim a generation was run, or promise exact consistency. Use only controls verified in my current workflow notes.
```

Ask for a reviewable response

Request a table with the original requirement, proposed phrase, reason for change, and uncertainty. Keep the model/tool compatibility notes in your own verified source record.

If the assistant has not seen your actual image, describe the visible problem precisely or provide an image through an interface that supports it. Ask it to distinguish observed features from guesses. You choose the revision; the assistant does not validate an unseen generation.

Which suggested change directly addresses the brief?

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / REPAIR

Diagnose one failure mode

Hypothetical failure

The portfolio presents contradictory room layouts as exact alternate views of one buildable space.

Repair direction

Label them as concept alternatives or revise the series around a consistent set of visible landmarks.

Start from the smallest relevant difference. Keep the original candidate and the revised candidate separate. Inspect the affected region and the whole composition after a change; a local improvement can introduce a new mismatch elsewhere.

Useful review questions

What is visibly wrong? Which requirement does it violate? Which input or workflow change is most likely to address it? What must remain unchanged? What result would make you stop revising?

Write a repair plan and the evidence that would confirm it.

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / WORKFLOW

Follow the production sequence

1. Write the room story.

2. Sketch a layout thumbnail.

3. Generate a simple hero direction.

4. Refine one focal feature.

5. Create a related detail view.

6. Present the brief, process, and limitations with the chosen images.

Current source to verify

Edit Model / https://docs.midjourney.com/hc/en-us/articles/48495453462797-Edit-Model

Record unavailable controls or compatibility differences before changing the brief. A missing feature may indicate the wrong model or workflow, not a weak descriptive prompt.

Record the actual route, source/result identity, and next action.

UNIT 1 / CASE 1 / DREAM ROOM / HANDOFF

Turn the case into portfolio evidence

Required evidence

A room case study containing a brief, hero image plan, detail plan, and an honest concept-visualization note.

Case note / Dream Room. Purpose: a fictional dream-room portfolio case study. Acceptance: clear room story, coherent landmarks, calm focal point. State whether the work is a fictional exercise, a concept alternative, or an actual commissioned deliverable.

Keep the selected file, the relevant prompts, reference roles, dated workflow notes, and a short explanation of your contribution. Identify dimensions and intended placement. For a pattern, review the final repeat. For motion, review playback and any loop seam.

Only describe results you actually observed. The workbook's teaching plate and hypothetical diagnoses are not evidence that your Midjourney run succeeded. Keep unresolved issues visible in the handoff note.

Summarize the decision, the approved file, and one limitation.